Green Branding, Employee Engagement, and Consumer Sustainability in the Green Economy

Danar Sandi Kusuma[1], Dwi Cahyaningdyah[2]

[1,2]Department of Management, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Negeri Semarang

Correspondence :danarsandiku@students.unnes.ac.id

Abstract

The transition toward a green economy has placed pressure on firms to align external market communication with internal organizational practices and consumer sustainability outcomes. Many firms promote environmentally responsible brands, but their claims are vulnerable to greenwashing when they are not supported by employee behavior, transparent evidence, and consumer-oriented value creation. This study aims to develop an integrated conceptual framework explaining how green branding, employee engagement, and consumer sustainability can function as mutually reinforcing pillars of sustainable business strategy. A qualitative conceptual literature review was conducted using recent peer-reviewed publications on green branding, green human resource management, employee green behavior, green marketing, and sustainable consumption, supported by policy documents on green economy and misleading green claims. The analysis was carried out through thematic synthesis, concept mapping, and strategic triangulation across marketing, human resource management, and consumer behavior perspectives. The results show that green branding becomes credible when it is supported by employee engagement in sustainability routines, evidence-based communication, and consumer trust-building mechanisms. Employee engagement acts as an internal driver that transforms sustainability from a promotional message into organizational culture, while consumer sustainability strengthens market legitimacy by connecting ecological values with purchasing decisions, loyalty, and responsible consumption. The study proposes the Green Brand-Employee-Consumer Alignment framework as a sustainable business strategy for firms operating in the green economy. The paper concludes that sustainable competitiveness depends not only on green marketing innovation, but also on the alignment between brand promises, employee practices, and consumer trust.

Keywords: green branding, employee engagement, consumer sustainability, green economy, sustainable business

INTRODUCTION

The global transition toward a green economy has changed the way firms define competitiveness. Business success is no longer assessed only through market share, cost efficiency, or short-term profitability, but also through the firm's ability to reduce environmental risks, communicate credible sustainability value, and build consumer trust. UNEP (2025) defines an inclusive green economy as one that improves human well-being and social equity while reducing environmental risks and ecological scarcities. In this context, companies are expected to redesign products, brands, employee practices, and consumer relationships so that economic growth is compatible with environmental responsibility.

However, the rise of green business communication has also created a new managerial problem. Many firms use sustainability labels, green imagery, climate-related claims, or eco-friendly narratives as branding tools, yet these messages are often disconnected from internal organizational routines. OECD (2025) warns that misleading or unsubstantiated environmental claims may harm consumers because they weaken informed decision-making and intensify skepticism toward genuinely sustainable products. This situation shows that green branding cannot stand alone as a promotional strategy; it requires organizational proof, employee participation, and credible consumer value.

Previous studies have developed important insights into green branding, green marketing, green human resource management, and sustainable consumption. Green marketing literature shows that brand image and brand trust influence purchase intention and consumer loyalty (Tan et al., 2022; Nguyen-Viet, 2023). Research on eco-innovation and green branding demonstrates that firms can create sustainable product value when ecological innovation is translated into a recognizable brand identity (Wang et al., 2023). At the organizational level, green human resource management has been shown to shape employee green behavior through engagement, training, participation, and perceived organizational support (Ababneh, 2021; Aboramadan, 2022; Veerasamy et al., 2024). Consumer sustainability studies also emphasize that changing consumption behavior requires more than information; it requires trust, social influence, habit formation, and alignment between personal values and market offerings (White et al., 2019; Kar and Harichandan, 2022).

Despite these contributions, the literature remains fragmented. Marketing studies often emphasize brand image, consumer trust, and purchase intention, while human resource studies emphasize employee engagement and green behavior. These two streams are rarely integrated into one strategic framework that explains how external green branding and internal employee engagement jointly shape consumer sustainability. This gap is important because

consumers judge green brands not only from advertising messages, but also from organizational consistency, employee behavior, transparency, and the perceived authenticity of the firm's sustainability commitment.

This paper addresses the gap by proposing an integrated conceptual framework linking green branding, employee engagement, and consumer sustainability as three pillars of sustainable business strategy in the era of green economy. The novelty of the paper lies in its argument that sustainable business competitiveness emerges from alignment, not from isolated green marketing campaigns. The paper asks three research questions: how does green branding function as a strategic sustainability signal; how does employee engagement transform green claims into organizational practice; and how can consumer sustainability become a source of trust-based business resilience?

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study uses a qualitative conceptual literature review. The design is appropriate because the aim of the paper is not to test a statistical hypothesis, but to synthesize fragmented theoretical and empirical findings into an integrated strategic framework. The review focused on three bodies of literature: green branding and green marketing, green human resource management and employee green behavior, and consumer sustainability or sustainable consumption. Policy sources on green economy and misleading green claims were also used to strengthen the contextual analysis.

The literature search was conducted thematically using combinations of keywords such as "green branding", "green marketing", "green brand trust", "green human resource management", "employee green behavior", "employee engagement", "sustainable consumption", "consumer sustainability", and "green economy". The inclusion criteria were: peer-reviewed journal articles or reputable institutional documents; relevance to marketing, human resource management, consumer behavior, or sustainability strategy; and priority for recent publications from 2021 to 2026. Foundational references were included only when they offered widely used conceptual frameworks, such as sustainable consumer behavior change.

The analysis followed three stages. First, the selected literature was coded into thematic categories: brand credibility, employee internalization, consumer trust, greenwashing risk, and strategic alignment. Second, similarities and differences across marketing, HRM, and consumer behavior studies were mapped to identify conceptual gaps. Third, the findings were synthesized into a strategic model named the Green Brand-Employee-Consumer Alignment framework. This procedure allows the paper to present results as a conceptual synthesis rather than fabricated field data. The analysis is field-oriented in the sense that it translates literature into practical business indicators, but it does not claim to have conducted interviews, surveys, or direct company observation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The thematic synthesis produced four central results. First, green branding operates as a sustainability signal only when the claim is transparent and evidence-based. Second, employee engagement functions as the internal driver that converts green claims into everyday organizational practice. Third, consumer sustainability depends on trust, convenience, and perceived authenticity. Fourth, sustainable business competitiveness emerges when the three dimensions are aligned. The synthesis is summarized in Table 1 and developed analytically in the following discussion.

Table 1. Thematic synthesis of green branding, employee engagement, and consumer sustainability

Analytical theme	Main evidence from literature	Strategic meaning	Key risk if neglected
Green branding	Green image, brand trust, green marketing mix, and eco-innovation strengthen purchase intention and brand equity (Tan et al., 2022; Nguyen-Viet, 2023; Wang et al., 2023).	Branding should communicate clear ecological value, not only environmental symbolism.	Greenwashing, skepticism, and loss of consumer trust.
Employee engagement	Green HRM, green work engagement, training, and participation influence employee green behavior (Ababneh, 2021; Aboramadan, 2022; Veerasamy et al., 2024).	Employees become internal carriers of sustainability values and external representatives of the green brand.	Gap between brand promise and employee practice.
Consumer sustainability	Sustainable behavior requires trust, habit formation, social influence, and tangible benefits (White et al., 2019; Kar and Harichandan, 2022).	Consumers should be enabled to make responsible choices through credible information and practical value.	Attitude-behavior gap and weak loyalty.
Strategic alignment	Green marketing and employee green behavior literature both call for integrated, multi-level approaches (Nair and Ndubisi, 2023; Tang et al., 2023).	Sustainable advantage emerges from alignment between brand, people, and consumers.	Fragmented strategy and symbolic sustainability.

Source: Synthesized by author based on reviewed literature, 2026.



Figure 1. Green Brand-Employee-Consumer Alignment framework

Green branding as a strategic sustainability signal

The first finding is that green branding functions as a strategic signal only when the environmental claim is specific, verifiable, and connected to tangible business practice. Green branding is more than the use of green color, nature symbols, or environmental slogans. It involves the construction of a brand identity that communicates ecological responsibility, ethical value creation, and long-term stakeholder commitment. Studies on green marketing components show that green knowledge, green brand image, and green trust can influence purchase intention when consumers perceive the brand as credible (Tan et al., 2022). Nguyen-Viet (2023) similarly shows that green marketing mix elements contribute to customer-based brand equity in emerging markets by strengthening green brand image, satisfaction, trust, and loyalty.

The managerial implication is clear: green branding must be evidence-based. Firms that communicate environmental responsibility without measurable proof risk creating skepticism. OECD (2025) emphasizes that misleading green claims can reduce consumers' ability to make informed choices. Therefore, green branding should be built through transparent product information, clear eco-labeling, credible reporting, and consistency between marketing communication and operational performance. Green branding becomes a strategic asset when it reduces uncertainty and helps consumers understand why a product or company is genuinely more sustainable.

Employee engagement as an internal sustainability driver

The second finding is that employee engagement is the internal mechanism that makes green branding credible. A company may communicate a strong green image, but consumers and stakeholders will eventually evaluate whether employees behave consistently with that image. Green HRM studies demonstrate that recruitment, training, participation, rewards, and green performance management can strengthen employee green behavior (Ababneh, 2021; Aboramadan, 2022). Veerasamy et al. (2024) show that participation, involvement, training, and development are important moderators in the relationship between green HRM and employee green behavior. This means that employees should not merely receive instructions to support sustainability; they need to be involved in the process of interpreting, implementing, and improving it.

Employee engagement also protects firms from symbolic sustainability. When employees understand the environmental meaning of their tasks, they are more likely to support waste reduction, energy efficiency, responsible procurement, customer education, and ethical service behavior. Elshaer et al. (2023) further indicate that GHRM can influence brand citizenship behavior through organizational pride and individual green values. This suggests that employees can become living representatives of green branding when the organization builds pride, participation, and shared ecological values. In practical terms, the marketing department cannot carry the sustainability agenda alone; HRM must develop the internal culture that supports the brand promise.

Consumer sustainability and trust-based market legitimacy

The third finding is that consumer sustainability is not identical to green purchase intention. Sustainable consumption includes awareness, evaluation of product claims, responsible purchasing, product use,

reuse, reduced waste, loyalty to credible firms, and willingness to support circular practices. White et al. (2019) argue that sustainable consumer behavior is shaped by social influence, habit formation, individual self-concept, feelings and cognition, and tangibility. This explains why many consumers express concern for the environment but do not always translate concern into action. Green branding must therefore reduce the distance between attitude and behavior by making sustainable choice easier, trustworthy, and meaningful.

Consumer trust becomes the key bridge between green branding and sustainable behavior. Green marketing innovation studies show that sustainable consumption is an evolving research area where innovation, information, and consumer value are deeply connected (Kar and Harichandan, 2022). Pancić et al. (2023) show that eco-branding, brand awareness, green brand equity, and green innovativeness can support repurchase intention. These findings suggest that consumers reward green brands when sustainability is experienced as valuable, consistent, and reliable. However, if consumers suspect greenwashing, the same green communication may produce resistance. Thus, consumer sustainability should be treated as a relational process, not merely a transaction.

Integrated alignment as sustainable business strategy

The fourth finding is that sustainable business strategy requires alignment among brand promise, employee practice, and consumer trust. This paper proposes the Green Brand-Employee-Consumer Alignment framework. The framework starts from green branding as the external promise of sustainability, continues through employee engagement as the internal capability that operationalizes the promise, and ends with consumer sustainability as the market response that legitimizes the firm. The three components work recursively: a credible green brand motivates employees to represent sustainability; engaged employees strengthen consumer trust through authentic service and communication; and sustainable consumers reinforce the brand's long-term market relevance.

This alignment perspective contributes to green economy discourse by shifting attention from isolated green marketing to integrated sustainable business capability. Nair and Ndubisi (2023) argue that green marketing research needs thematic integration because the field contains diverse but interconnected concepts. Tang et al. (2023) also recommend future research on employee green behavior to consider multi-level organizational mechanisms. The present framework responds to those calls by showing that brand, employee, and consumer dimensions are mutually dependent. If one dimension is weak, the whole sustainability strategy becomes fragile. A strong green claim without employee practice becomes symbolic. Strong employee practice without consumer communication remains invisible. Consumer interest without credible brand proof becomes vulnerable to skepticism.

Strategic interpretation for emerging-market firms

The framework has particular relevance for firms in emerging markets, where consumer environmental awareness is increasing but institutional verification, eco-label literacy, and sustainability reporting may still be uneven. In such contexts, green branding should be designed as a trust-building strategy rather than merely a differentiation tactic. Firms need to combine simple environmental messages with transparent evidence, such as material sourcing, waste reduction, energy efficiency, product life-cycle information, and measurable social-environmental impact. The role of employees becomes crucial because they mediate the encounter between corporate claims and consumer experience.

For small and medium enterprises, the framework does not require complex reporting systems at the beginning. The first step is to make green claims specific and modest: for example, reducing plastic packaging, using recycled materials, improving energy efficiency, or educating customers about product disposal. The second step is to train employees to understand and communicate these practices accurately. The third step is to invite consumers into sustainable behavior through repair, refill, reuse, return, or responsible consumption programs. Through this gradual process, sustainability becomes a business routine, not merely a campaign.

Table 2. Strategic implementation matrix for sustainable business alignment

Dimension	What should be operationalized	Practical indicators	Managerial implication
Brand promise	Specific green claims based on product, process, or impact evidence.	Eco-label clarity, packaging information, measurable reduction targets, lifecycle explanation.	Avoid generic claims such as "eco-friendly" without proof.
Employee practice	Sustainability routines embedded into HRM and work culture.	Green training, employee participation, green performance appraisal, internal feedback.	Build employee ownership so the brand promise is enacted daily.
Consumer trust	Transparent communication that reduces skepticism and supports informed choice.	Traceable claims, accessible reports, customer education, complaint response.	Trust is the bridge between green communication and sustainable purchase behavior.
Sustainable behavior	Programs that make responsible consumption easy and meaningful.	Refill, return, repair, reuse, responsible disposal, loyalty for sustainable actions.	Move consumers from awareness to repeated sustainable behavior.

Business resilience	Integration of sustainability into long-term strategy and stakeholder legitimacy.	Reputation, loyalty, reduced greenwashing risk, adaptive innovation, regulatory readiness.	Sustainability becomes a source of durable competitiveness.

Source: Developed by author, 2026.



Figure 2. Strategic pathway from green claim to sustainable business resilience

CONCLUSION

This paper concludes that green branding, employee engagement, and consumer sustainability should be understood as an integrated strategic system in the era of green economy. Green branding provides the external identity and value proposition of sustainability, but it becomes credible only when supported by engaged employees who internalize and enact sustainability values in organizational routines. Consumer sustainability then becomes the market-level outcome that transforms green claims into trust, loyalty, and responsible consumption behavior. The proposed Green Brand-Employee-Consumer Alignment framework shows that sustainable business competitiveness depends on consistency between what the firm promises, what employees practice, and what consumers experience. The main contribution of this paper is the integration of marketing, human resource management, and consumer behavior perspectives into one strategic model for sustainable business development. The limitation of the study is that it is conceptual and based on literature synthesis; therefore, future studies should test the framework empirically through survey, case study, or mixed-method research across industries and firm sizes.

RECOMMENDATION

Firms are recommended to avoid broad and vague environmental claims and instead develop specific, measurable, and evidence-based green branding. Managers should integrate sustainability into employee recruitment, training, participation, performance appraisal, and reward systems so that green values become part of daily organizational behavior. Marketing and HR departments should work together to ensure that customer-facing employees can explain sustainability claims accurately. Future empirical research may examine the proposed framework using structural equation modeling or qualitative case studies to identify how employee engagement mediates the relationship between green branding and consumer sustainability.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author expresses appreciation to the International Conference IDEABI & BRIDA Central Java for providing an academic forum to discuss green economy, sustainable development, innovation, resilience, and inclusive growth.

REFERENCES

Ababneh, O.M.A. 2021. How do green HRM practices affect employees' green behaviors? The role of employee engagement and personality attributes. Journal of Environmental Planning and Management 64(7): 1204–1226. doi:10.1080/09640568.2020.1814708

Aboramadan, M. 2022. The effect of green HRM on employee green behaviors in higher education: the mediating mechanism of green work engagement. International Journal of Organizational Analysis 30(1): 7–23. doi:10.1108/IJOA-05-2020-2190

Aggarwal, P. dan T. Agarwala. 2025. Green organizational culture: An exploration of dimensions. Global Business Review 26(4): 1103–1126. doi:10.1177/09721509211049890

Aulina, L., A. Fauzi dan M. Simanjuntak. 2025. Green marketing strategy and consumer behaviour: A systematic literature review. Cogent Business & Management 12(1): 2447869. doi:10.1080/23311975.2025.2447869

Elshaer, I.A., A.M.S. Azazz, C. Kooli dan S. Fayyad. 2023. Green human resource management and brand citizenship behavior in the hotel industry: Mediation of organizational pride and individual green values as a moderator. Administrative Sciences 13(4): 109. doi:10.3390/admsci13040109

Kar, S.K. dan S. Harichandan. 2022. Green marketing innovation and sustainable consumption: A bibliometric analysis. Journal of Cleaner Production 361: 132290. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.132290

Li, M., J. Martínez-del-Río, P. Li dan J.P. Guthrie. 2024. Exploring the antecedents of green human resource management: A path dependence perspective. Journal of Business Research 181: 114743. doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2024.114743

Mustafa, K., M.B. Hossain, F. Ahmad, F. Ejaz, H.G.A. Khan dan A. Dunay. 2023. Green human resource management practices to accomplish green competitive advantage: A moderated mediation model. Heliyon 9(11): e21830. doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e21830

Nair, S.R. dan N.O. Ndubisi. 2023. Synthesizing green marketing research: A thematic analysis. Journal of Business Research 165: 114090. doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.114090

Nguyen-Viet, B. 2023. The impact of green marketing mix elements on green customer based brand equity in an emerging market. Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Administration 15(1): 96–116. doi:10.1108/APJBA-08-2021-0398

OECD. 2025. Protecting and Empowering Consumers in the Green Transition: Misleading Green Claims. OECD Digital Economy Papers No. 375. Paris: OECD Publishing. doi:10.1787/12f28e4f-en

Pancić, M., H. Serdarušić dan D. Ćućić. 2023. Green marketing and repurchase intention: Stewardship of green advertisement, brand awareness, brand equity, green innovativeness and brand innovativeness. Sustainability 15(16): 12534. doi:10.3390/su151612534

Reddy, K.P., V. Chandu, S. Srilakshmi, E. Thagaram, C. Sahayaja dan B. Osei. 2023. Consumers' perception on green marketing towards eco-friendly fast moving consumer goods. SAGE Open 13(2): 1–14. doi:10.1177/21582440231170962

Tan, Z., B. Sadiq, T. Bashir, H. Mahmood dan Y. Rasool. 2022. Investigating the impact of green marketing components on purchase intention: The mediating role of brand image and brand trust. Sustainability 14(10): 5939. doi:10.3390/su14105939

Tang, G., S. Ren, M. Wang, Y. Li dan S. Zhang. 2023. Employee green behaviour: A review and recommendations for future research. International Journal of Management Reviews 25(2): 297–317. doi:10.1111/ijmr.12328

UNEP. 2025. About green economy. United Nations Environment Programme. Available at: https://www.unep.org/explore-topics/green-economy/about-green-economy

Veerasamy, U., M.S. Joseph dan S. Parayitam. 2024. Green human resource management and employee green behaviour: Participation and involvement, and training and development as moderators. South Asian Journal of Human Resources Management 11(2): 277–309. doi:10.1177/23220937221144361

Wang, J., K.Y.G. Chau, F. Baei, M. Moslehpour, K.L. Nguyen dan T.T.H. Nguyen. 2023. Integrated perspective of eco-innovation, green branding, and sustainable product: A case of an emerging economy. Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja 36(3): 2196690. doi:10.1080/1331677X.2023.2196690

White, K., R. Habib dan D.J. Hardisty. 2019. How to SHIFT consumer behaviors to be more sustainable: A literature review and guiding framework. Journal of Marketing 83(3): 22–49. doi:10.1177/0022242919825649